

Mark's Dateline Meter

^{BGT} Mark 1:1 Ἀρχὴ τοῦ <u>εὐαγγελίου</u> Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ¹⁰ [υἱοῦ θεοῦ].	10
² Καθὼς γέγραπται ἐν τῷ <u>Ἡσαΐα</u> τῷ προφήτῃ· ¹³	23
ἰδοὺ ἀποστέλλω τὸν ἄγγελόν μου πρὸ προσώπου σου, ¹⁶	39
ὃς κατασκευάσει τὴν ὁδὸν σου· ἔμπροσθέν σου . ¹⁰	49

Notes

1. Mark keys off Luke's meter base of 19 AD, when the Jews were expelled. The '49' represents JUDGMENT, the same number as the missed sabbatical years which prompted the downfall of the First Temple, = Daniel's dateline meter in Daniel 9:4. (See <http://www.brainout.net/DAN9V4-19HebOnePagerPARSED.pdf> for a full explanation including Bible verses Daniel references, as he speaks his prayer in meter.)

Because, the Jews are about to be expelled again, this time from their homeland in Israel, not merely from Rome. **So Mark is writing in 68AD**, even as the rest of his letter strongly hints; Book of Hebrews (which plays off Mark's Gospel for its own outline), persuasively confirms.

Again, the pregnant 49 is for DIASPORA; apostasy so bad, that believers are sent to the four winds, Lev 26 final stage. Paul uses 49 to plot future Church apostasy in Eph1:3-14, as shown in <http://www.brainout.net/Eph1DecreeSyllablesREPARSED.pdf>. For the precedent, is *Israel's missed sabbatical years* (which are due another 7 on the 49, hence the hanging-chad 7 of the 69th week). She was supposed to be NOT WORKING BUT STUDYING SCRIPTURE during those years, and since she didn't, well.. razed to the ground. After 2nd Temple, she observed the years, *but not the study*, else she'd have recognized Her Groom when He came.

So, and just as Mark writes, the Matthew 24 condition obtains: Jerusalem is surrounded by Titus (and maybe still Vespasian's) armies. Parallel situation to Ezekiel playing out the Temple siege to the Babylonian Jews, to Jeremiah chronicling the siege in Jeremiah 52. And of course the Temple goes down 9-10 Ab, 70AD.

2. **Greyed-out 'variant' text** in the Byzantine/Textus Receptus mss, is superfluous to Mark's grammar, isn't even in the right place in the text. So it's not counted.

3. You further know the **greyed-out text** doesn't belong to Bible, as **Mark quotes Matthew 11:10 in Mark 1:2**. Matthew 11:10, is Christ is quoting an amalgam of Malachi 3:1 and Isaiah 40:3. Since the Isaiah quote comes next in Mark's text (verse 3), and since he's obviously quoting Matthew 11:10 verbatim (all the readers would know that), Mark says 'Isaiah'. It's not an error, but an economy of speech, to set up Mark 1:3.

4. The **red underlined letters** are elisions or krasis, as usual.

More can be said, but seriously: this page alone is strong proof that a) Mark's Gospel is 3rd, b) there's no such thing as 'Quelle', but c) rather like Peter said, 'men' got their information *directly* from the True Quelle, Holy Spirit, Verbal Plenary Inspiration; and d) that meter is used to cross-reference extant Bible (here the NT writers), as well as to dateline. *Many of our assumptions and arguments about Scripture would cease, if we just started counting its syllables!*