

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline = pronounce as one syllable, orange = divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

Note: Bibleworks 9 CNTTS apparatus used. Variants are italicized; strikethroughs are **not** counted. Many mss include and omit them, but what's counted, has good Aland Category I support.

	Syllables	Cumulative
BGT Mark 1:1 Ἀρχὴ τοῦ <u>εὐαγγελίου</u> Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ ¹⁰ <i>υἱοῦ θεοῦ.</i>	14	14
² Καθὼς γέγραπται ἐν τῷ Ἡσαΐα τῷ προφήτῃ· ἰδοὺ ἐγὼ ἀποστέλλω τὸν ἄγγελόν μου πρὸ προσώπου σου, ὃς κατασκευάσει τὴν ὁδὸν σου· ἐμπροσθέν σου.	14 18 10	28 46 56
³ φωνὴ βοῶντος ἐν τῇ ἐρήμῳ· ἐτοιμάσατε τὴν ὁδὸν κυρίου, εὐθείας ποιεῖτε τὰς τρίβους αὐτοῦ,	8 11 11	64 75 86

Meter Import

Here we see Mark key off both [Matthew](#) and [Luke's Gospel dateline meters](#), as well as [Jude's](#). Question is by what *formula*, to inform the reader of Gospel's *theme*. Meter 'tags' Bible passages and doctrines that most underly the all-too-familiar text, so to apply it to life *now*. For when Mark writes, *Jerusalem is surrounded by armies*, just as Christ forewarned in Matthew 24 and Luke 21. So how will he recast the Gospel information the Holy Spirit wants said, *to apply to believers getting his Gospel* at that time? Meter, shows how.

Briefly, the meter meanings are as follows. Meter colors are used to show how his parsing creates these formulas. Mark aims to play on the doctrinal meanings of **14**, **15** (15th year of Tiberius, which Mark uses to start his Gospel narrative, thus concatenating both Matt 3 and Luke 3), **28**, **46** **64** and **75** (the blue numbers are Adamic years, similar to '15' for 2015), **40** and **54** and **56** (54-40=14, Mary played those meanings in her [Magnificat meter](#), again tagging Luke). Mark's meter thus stresses midpoint and equidistance as Isaiah 53 had: which, was about *TEMPLE DOWN*, since Christ IS IS IS *THE TEMPLE THE TEMPLE DEPICTED*. And the Temple falls at midpoint, in Daniel 9:27. So is that happening now, triggering the Tribulation instead of occurring in the middle of it? *Enquiring minds, wanted to know!*

- Mark thus equidistantly writes **14** years *after* the 14 years *after* Christ was originally scheduled to die in 4146, so 4146 + 14 = 4160 but start the *next* 14 at 4161, so +14 = **4175 from Adam's Fall, when he writes.**
- That Year is also the 15th Year *into*, the 40-YEAR COUNTDOWN TO THE MILLENNIUM (tracks Mary's meter ending, updates it), which begins on the 'birthday' of the **14**, so 4161 + 14 = **4175** again. Notice the 'flip' between 14 and 40, fore (to Mill) and aft (back to when Christ was originally scheduled to die).
- So Mark also writes during the 40th year *into* the 54 (really 53.5) year [PAYBACK TO GENTILES FOR ABRAHAM'S TOO-EARLY MATURATION](#), (which Mary's Magnificat Meter also tracked). It began when He died in 4136, so +54 -15 = **4175**. *Because, 14 years remain, just as Moses warned via his meter in [Psalm 90](#); as Isaiah warned and Daniel recapped and God remapped in [Isaiah 53](#) and [Daniel 9](#).*
- That year is also **14** years *after* Claudius Paul Nero Galba and soon Otho all die, counting from the earliest Caesar, Claudius. Aka, Mark writes in the 15th year after Claudius died; so he writes in Christ's 72nd year, **4175 - 4106** (when Christ *should have* been born; ironically, Varro's AUC, was also overstated by three years relative to Christ's birth) = **late 68 or early 69 AD.**
- Mark also writes **56/2** years *after* the year Christ should have died, 4146 +1+28 = 4175. So too, **28th** year *after* He actually died in 4136 = 4164. Next year, mirror +28 = latest-pre-Church Trib START (END 4164+1+28 = END 4193 = START 4194 = Trib START).
- Mark also writes *after* **28th** year *prior* to Millennium, so writes *near midpoint* in 29th year of countdown (since 4146 vernal = 4146.5 Adamic), as **28** *passed* but not 29, 4201.5 - 26.5 = **4175 vernal, probably Passover AD 69, can't be much later.** (4175 vernal = 4175.5 Adamic. Because, 4201.5 Adamic = 4201 vernal, and the 26.5 balances both; 4201 vernal is latest fiscal START for Mill.) That matters, as assault on 2nd Temple begins *on* Passover in AD 70, ending 57+57 days later, [same timeline](#) as takedown of 1st Temple. This meter plays that dirge first; *so maybe that's why Book of Hebrews reprises the theme*. Almost any Jew will tell you 'Tish b'Av' is the day of Temple takedown for *each* 1st Temple (yeah, Jer 52:12ff) *and* 2nd Temple (i.e., per Josephus and almost any book on Roman history re siege of Jerusalem you want to read). The 2nd Temple's actual takedown *started on* Passover, so 57+57 days later is Tish b'Av. Aka, 112, as 56+56. The siege had been on-and-off again, since 18 months prior, due to instability of Emperors in Rome (aka [Year of the Four Emperors](#)). So exactly a year after Mark writes, *the midpoint happens*.
- More baldly and simply, Mark also writes **56** years after Tiberius first obtained co-regency powers with Augustus (START, 'our' AD 13 = 4175 - 56 = 4119 - 4106, probably on Jan-Dec Roman fiscal, as Luke used Tiberius to date Christ's age; which meant, not-yet-December); that's when Mark's Gospel opens. This 'formula' is exactly the same as Luke used for his Gospel, last meter is *x years from when my Gospel begins*. So again, *Mark tags Luke*.

What follows will attempt to demonstrate sleuthing techniques used to derive the above answers. The following pages are in very rough draft form, so will be messy to read. Maybe it's best just to stop reading now.

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline=pronounce as one syllable, orange=divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

Review: Abraham matured 2046 from Adam's Fall, just in time: 2046 = 2046.5 Adamic. For Noah's 490 was given along with his sons as a 500th birthday present, 1556 from Adam's Fall. Noah's birthday was on what would become, Passover. So a 'new' vernal fiscal year, bifurcated with autumnal equinox, begins 2046.5 Adamic. Since vernal is six months later, Bible uses an 18-month spread (earliest fiscal start autumnal to latest fiscal end on vernal), to show God Reconciles Time. So counts often end up - or +, 1 or 2. For God is the Master Accountant. *Ninnies don't do their Bible homework, count none too good either, and then blame Bible as 'inscrutable'!*

To be fair, God's Accounting is complex. Bible writers all reconcile the following numerical relationships, and they *switch between* them as was common in the ancient world, which had mostly all adopted a *years-from* or *years-to* accounting, rather than the absolute calendar we all use today. So Bible tracks, whether via explicit years, or in meter:

- **YEARS FROM OR TO, A KING OR EVENT:** in the NT, the 'king' will be a famous secular king, i.e., Roman Emperor or Alexander; and the event, will be Biblically significant *to the theme of the writer.*
- **'YEAR' versus 'YEARS':** your 10th birthday begins your 11th Year. So notice: that convention relates multiple years of multiple persons and events, *each with its own 'fiscal'.* Your *own* personal fiscal year *starts at sundown* on your birthday. So when you're born, that's Year 1, but you don't turn *Age 1*, until your *next birthday.* Since meters *seven*, often a writer will shift from an 'age' (usu. last birthday) to 'Year' (which precedes the next 'birthday'). Confusing, huh: *so if the distance between Event A's fiscal year and Event B's year is greater than six months, there will seem to be a 'discrepancy' of 1 or even 2.* Bible is precise in measuring distances, so these 'discrepancies' tell you the *distance between fiscals.* Example: we know Adam's 'age' is actually years-from-his Fall, since in his 130th year Seth is born as a super maturation present, *so the world gets to live 490 years longer;* and we know that, since Jared's supermaturation birthday present of Enoch, is late in the year (fall=Adam's fall 'birthday' in Gen 3:22, get it), as the *distance between births* of Jared's son Enoch and Adam's son Seth, is 492 years. (See? +2, since if the fathers' 'birthdays' exceed six months apart and sons are born nine months later, total 'distance' roughly 2.)
- **START OR END OF FISCAL YEAR:** add 1 for a start-year, or subtract 1 for an end-year measure. Example: Christ born *end* of Roman year *on* 1st day of Saturnalia, aka Chanukah aka 2nd Temple Foundation 'birthday', Gal 4:4 & Haggai 2. (So yes, 'Christmas' is Dec 25, as Pope Gregory cut 10 days, so 15th becomes 25th.) So get His Birthdate wrong, and you get *all* Bible dates wrong, as *all* Bible dates 'hub' to His Birth. Bible dates all reconcile from Adam & Abraham to CHRIST THE RECONCILER, to Whom they balance! That's the whole purpose of numbers in Bible, to show HOW GOD ORCHESTRATES TIME IN CHRIST.
- **ALL FISCAL YEARS ARE INTERRELATED:** autumnal equinox, Roman year, and vernal equinox. So often an **18-month spread** will be used: Year 2 of Autumnal, is only Year 1 in Roman until the next week; and Year 1.5 in vernal, until next vernal equinox.
- **TIME SHIFTS:** there are several, as shown in this table. Time shift from *Adam to Noah*, changes fiscal year from autumnal, to vernal; time shift from *Noah to Abraham*, measures that 'spread'. *The 2100 for the Jews started in 2046.5 Adamic/autumnal, leaving a 53.5 year credit owed Gentiles, which Bible continually tracks.* Time shift from *Abraham to David*, shortened the *timeline* by 3.5-years vs. Adamic & Abrahamic deadlines; for David was crowned over *all* Israel, 7 years late (2Sam 5-7). So Christ's Birth Date Due, shortened from the erstwhile *Abrahamic* deadline of 4106.5; new *Davidic* deadline of 3103 *CROWNED OVER ALL ISRAEL*+1000, means Christ must be born 3.5 years *early.* Next, to reconcile Roman AUC: Varro's AUC became law, so NT writers also adjust for Varro's 3-year overpadding error (Rome was really 750 years old when Christ was born, not 753).

So for any Bible date or meter, solve the above parameters: what years *from* or *to* what *king* or *event*; is it 'Year' or 'years'; what 'fiscals' are *tagged* (so to know shifts between *start* of fiscal *n* but maybe *end* of fiscal *x*); how the *date/meter reconciles* fiscals, and does it *reconcile Time Shifts*, a most common meter formula. So first, question 'x years from *what past*, and into *what future* countdown?'

Every Bible writer creates an equidistant dateline meter count 'aft' of his writing date, and 'fore' to the Millennium; or, 'fore' to some other accounting benchmark also related to the Millennium. So first we have to know when the Millennium: 2100+2100 from Adam's Fall. First 2100 for Adam to Abraham, 2nd from Abraham to the *finish* of Christ. Jews today reckon these two 'times' incorrectly, as 2000 each. They also reckon all Time incorrectly, as seven 'jubilees' (misreading Psalm 90 as seven fifties rather than five seventies) -- standing for, 7000 years (each unit should be 1050, not 1000). Worse, they use a messed-up promise calendar called the *SEDER OLAM RABBAH* (Google on that term) to create their official historical calendar; then compound that error, by continuing to value the historical calendar, *in lunar years.* So modern Judaism's calendar is wrong, including all claimed dates annually for Passover and Yom Kippur, two of the 'high holidays' every Jew is enjoined to observe. *So they never observe their high holy days on time.* They also err in thinking Messiah 'will' come in Year 6000 (last 1000 is the Millennium). So they can't tell, God *already fulfilled* His Coming to them. *Billions of dollars and billions of lives full of angst, wasted annually, all on the wrong math.* So what's the right math?

Per BIBLE, 'our' Year 2015 is Year 6121 from Adam's Fall, three weeks from the *next* vernal equinox (so nearly 6121.5 Adamic and 6121 vernal), as I write. **So I write, nearly 288 sevens after His originally-scheduled Birthyear in 4106** (which you need to convert Adamic to 'our' BC/AD), **and I also write 282 sevens after He was originally scheduled to die in 4146.5, rounded to 4147. This is how Bible dateline meters are phrased, in paired sevens from or to a major event, person or both.** Here, Christ's originally-scheduled Birth and Death are paired, then compared to the writer's current date: the *time distance between*, is *converted into sevens.*

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline=pronounce as one syllable, orange=divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

Because, Bible writers all track The Promise = seven = *sheba* in Hebrew, famously encoded in Psalm 90. Bible uses meter, not an explicit 'I write'. *You do the meter math as you memorize syllable counts per clause, anyway. Immediate recipients of Bible books already knew when the book(s) had been written; the writer, took advantage of the fact they would memorize, to provide more doctrinal value via the meter.* Good thing, for progenitors didn't pass the 'when' dates onto progeny; so now we too can know both EXTRA DOCTRINE and WHEN. *So billions of dollars so long wasted by so many disputing or discerning Bible dates ineptly, can now be spent, elsewhere.* Want to feed the poor with that saved money? Want to spend that money on better Bible research? *Well...*

Note: the 4200 years must elapse before Millennium could begin, as TIME PROMISED was a full 1050+1050+1050+1050 years. By the first 1050, Enoch and a few others listed in Gen 5 had matured, but the world was (like today), overwhelmingly negative; so a Noah had to vote and mature during the *second* 70 (490+70+490+490 then in the next 70 Noah historically voted and matured); so he and his family lived; everyone else, died prior or drowned in the (autumnal) fiscal 1655-1656 Flood. It began on the equivalent of Pentecost, 57 days after the later Exodus 12, would mandate Passover= Noah's birthday, and the later Numbers 28:26, bids you begin counting the Omer when Passover week *ended*; for on that same 57th day after his (Passover) birthday in 1655 Adamic, Noah entered the boat (Gen 7:11's Greek '27' is right, Hebrew '17' wrong); and he exits boat on the *next* Pentecost, being in it 364 days. That 364 is played on by every Bible writer afterwards. (Whew, so we can verify which of our mss is right, God thinks of everything.) **For the Flood, created a bifurcated fiscal for Israel, to vernal vs. original autumnal equinox that began Time due to Adam's Fall. For Noah was born on the equivalent of future Passover, and sons of Israel were to carry Time like he did, Deut 32:8.**

So, 2nd year after Flood began (Gen 11:10's 2 years had *not* fully elapsed, just 364 days), Shem has Arpachshad; so his wife got pregnant *during* their Ark Time, was still pregnant until they left the boat. So the Line of Messiah continues. (Fetuses aren't alive; over 500 verses on this, but fastest proof is in Exodus 21:22 which is at times well translated, i.e., in *Online Vulgate, Bible in Basic English, Douhay-Rheims, New American, New Jerusalem, New Revised Standard, JPS Tanakh 1985.* A second quick proof is in 1 Peter 3:20, who counts Noah, his wife and his three sons with their wives, EIGHT (not 9 or more to include fetuses) PERSONS (lit, eight breathing). *If it ain't breathing on its own, it's NOT alive*, goes the Bible refrain; *breath of life makes alive*, and that *after* the body *outside* and *fully formed*, Genesis 2:7 pattern. See why? If soul life were *in* the womb, God would be sadistic, a murderer, and Mary's sins would become Christ's, so we couldn't be saved! Be against abortion if you like, but GOD says you're not alive until you independently BREATHE, since only HE creates your soul at BIRTH, even as He did Adam's, *ibid.*)

All Bible dates thus exhibit, bifurcated ACCOUNTING TO MILLENNIUM: from Adam, autumnal equinox; from Noah, vernal equinox. So we should expect to see that accounting in the meter, too. So when we see the meter, what formulas might be used?

- o Straight **Years to Millennium**, in factors of seven;
- o **Year or years *n* DURING THE LAST 40 YEARS TO THE MILLENNIUM**, with or without sevens; all NT writers use this formula.
- o **Year or years *x* during what had originally been scheduled as the LAST 53.5 YEARS TO THE MILLENNIUM, but counting from some trigger event, such as the pre-Christ scheduled, or actual, year Christ was to be born, enter the Ministry, or die.** Again, with or without, sevens. All NT writers use these formulas. Save sleuthing time, test for the above yellow-highlighted formulas.
- o His Death was initially planned to be 4146 vernal, 4146.5 Adamic, *END OF TIME FOR THE JEWS*. NT writer dateline meters 'balance' to this. Notice how 4200-4146=54. So when 40 years from the Mill *and* measuring the 54, *what year is equidistant?* Well, 4146+14=4160 +14=4174 or 4175 or 4176, depending on *what fiscal*, and whether *start or end* of the year. *Heh.*
- o His original Birthyear was to be 4106, = 2000 years after Jacob's; but to meet the *Davidic deadline* of being born a King 1000 years after David crowned over *all* Israel, Christ had to be (and was) born 3103 + 1000 = 4103 (on Chanukah, now our December 25 instead of 15th, since Pope Gregory cut 10 days from the calendars we use today).
- o David died age 77 in 3143, so the 4146 changes to 4143; Christ actually died 4136.04, on what *should have been* Passover if the Sanhedrin intercalated properly (but they didn't, so Passover ran four days fast) -- two weeks *after the vernal equinox* began, 1470 (=490x3) years from the original Passover *to the very day*, same day as Jacob entered Egypt (Exo 12:40-41), same as Noah's birthday. (Notice, all that couldn't be true EXCEPT if timed using SOLAR years!!)
- o All Bible dateline meters tag these dates, as the final seven (4143-4136) was long forewarned to be in jeopardy from Psalm 90 forward, i.e., if you properly did the math in Daniel 9:26, you'd end up at 37 AD, which = 4143 (-4106=AD, so 4143-4106=37 AD). For He actually died in the 61st week, not the 62nd. *God doesn't alter free will, His Plan thus has contingencies, and He knows and wants the outcome, without having to gerrymander free will.* That's the point of this doctrine, to show GOD KEEPS HIS PROMISE even though we can't even keep decent calendars, for we clearly REFUSE to consult Bible. (The Jews 'consult' rabbis and we consult our 'bishops', 'scholars' and 'priests' or Josephus or the Church Fathers, anyone but God and His Word. This is all a matter of historical record. Thousands of books have been written on Bible chronology; every single one, refuses to *ONLY USE BIBLE*, in favor of some hoary head beloved in the past. This refusal pretending to be Biblical and scholarly, is criminal or at very least egregiously disingenuous and incompetent, wasting hours and lives and billions of dollars. And no one will speak up, because it's impolitic to admit, *centuries* of inept human errors?!)

So when solving Bible dateline meters: after parsing the Hebrew or Greek text syntactically by phrase: solve for *n* or *x*, using the triggers or tags, mentioned above. These aren't the only formulae used, but generally at least one of the meters will use at least one of the formulas above and one of the trigger dates, especially in the 'fore' dating-to-Millennium.

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline=pronounce as one syllable, orange=divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

Example: Christ was originally to die START 4146 vernal, to align with Jacob's birth, Abraham's too-early maturation and with Noah's birthday; so 54 years remains to the Mill (really 53.5 years to the Adamic completion of 4200), so to pay back Gentiles for Abraham maturing too early. 4146 or 4147 is Year 1 of that 53.5 year countdown, depending on whether 4146 is counted precisely or wholly, same conventions as used in the ancient world for measuring *regnal years* so they do or do not, overlap. Another variation might be to 'count' Mill fiscal as 4201, but 4201 vernal is six months later, so = 4201.5 Adamic. So if 4201.5 Adamic = vernal 4201 - 4146, you have to convert the fiscal: 4146.5 Adamic +54 equals 4201.5 Adamic. But the meters, don't tell you which fiscal they use. You only know that, once you've done the math.

So notice the difference: Year 14 is 4146+14 (which *seems like* double-counting, the first year) = 4160, which is 13 years *past* at START, and only 14 years past, at END; Year 14 doesn't tell you *when* during the Year. Generally, Year *x* means the 'birthday' isn't reached, so it's often an *x-1 years* value. But in the meter, you don't know if Year or years is used. You have to crunch the math in *both* datelines, before you'll know.

So again, you solve for *n* or *x*, what years from or to what king or event, which is usually a formula related to Christ's originally-scheduled or amended schedule for David, actual Birth, Ministry Start, or Death; then, 'Year' or 'years'; then, which 'fiscals' are tagged (so to know shifts between start of fiscal *n* but maybe end of fiscal *x*); then, how the date/meter reconciles fiscals; and finally, how it reconciles Time Shifts. For every dateline meter, especially in the NT, performs all these functions.

So that's what the rest of this writeup will display, with respect to Mark's dateline meters; including, my mistakes. *Idea is to show the sleuthing process.* It's not easy to discern, as Bible writers change fiscal years from vernal to autumnal and back, in order to make sevenings. In the NT, they also adjust for the Roman AUC, which as already noted, is three years overpadded: Rome was really 750 years old when Christ was born; that was the very debate going on among Romans who disputed with Varro, *when* Christ was born. Since that problem neatly tied to the *change in His Birth* due to the *Davidic crowning delay*, the writers natively take advantage of it. Sometimes their meters use His Birth as a *years-to-Millennium* from 4106, the original schedule; or as 4103, on the revised Davidic schedule (actual year He was born). +40 for His Allotted Lifetime, yields 4146 and 4143 as the most common 'aft' formulas; usually, compared with the year He actually died (4136.04 vernal, 4136.54 Adamic), as we'll see below.

Finally, all Bible writers employ equidistance between notable dates, **to show God answers YES to Moses' prayer in Psalm 90:15, 'give us as many good days as bad days'.** You get the most 'smoking gun' certainty, if you seek to *solve for the equidistance*, which might sometimes be in ellipsis. The following pages seek to show, all these sleuthing techniques.

How does equidistance work, in meter? Well, as you'll soon see below,

- 4146+14=4160= 40 year countdown to the Mill at 4200, raw number without specifying start or end of year, without specifying which fiscal. But that same value also means
- 15th year = START 4161, first year of the countdown (4160 is past). Luke 3 opened at 15th year of Tiberius, so Mark tags that passage to start his own narrative, after tagging Matthew 3's prologue, in Mark 1:1-3. Hence Mark *concatenates* both prior Gospels, just as he had done with the genealogies, via *ἡοὶ θεοῦ*. He uses dateline meter to tag The Time as well: via years from Christ's originally-scheduled Death, to begin the countdowns.
- He doesn't stop there. Mark uses **14** to tell you he's writing either in the 14th year, or 14 years after that, maybe even in the 15th year as well: so 4174 or 4175 fiscal, Adamic or vernal. Question is, which of the two, and which fiscal?
- So the second dateline of **56** provides more wit, especially since the intervening meters of **28** and **46** respectively, set it up (28 used as a tag, and 46 to signify 4146). So you split **56** into **28** (hahaha) and now 4174+14 and 4174-14 and 4175+14 and 4175-14 or something + or - 1 on either side of 14, will be the clincher to decide how to read the previous, 14s!
- Oh and then some blatant advertisement of the dateline will usually be conveyed in secular terms, like **14** years after Claudius Paul Nero Galba all die; using the earliest name, to tally the years. So now that's baldly, AD 68 or possibly spring 69, but no later (not past 6 months more). Of course the **56** is as bald, and relevant to the Luke 3 tag Mark made of the 14, since 68 or early 69 AD minus 56, is when *Tiberius first had co-regency powers with Augustus* (AD 13, start).

Mark's text is clear: 'prepare the road' means KING'S ARRIVAL IMMINENT – yeah, roads were then *already* cleared for invasion of Jerusalem by Vespasian, who's *just sitting here, biding-time-for-who'll-be-next-Emperor? Maybe, me!* So Mark's Event theme is *Prepare for Christ King NOW, while there's still time!* And how do we know all this, besides the fact Mark uses euthus so much? Watch:

1. **14 meter aft, 4175 - 14 = 4161 aka 40 YEARS' COUNTDOWN TO MILLENNIUM.** Mill's 'spread': END 4200 = START 4201 autumnal/Adamic, to END 4200 = START 4201 vernal/Abrahamic/sacred = 1.5 years, as 2100+2100, must *fully elapse* in *both* fiscals. So, to play on the 40, Mark writes *during the 15th year* of that countdown (year 15 begins on 14th's 'birthday'; 4175.5 Adamic - 14 = 4161.5 Adamic = 4161 vernal). This *past measure*, began where Magnificat meter ended (so we know Mark thus tags Luke's Gospel). **Political dateline** (reconciles Roman AUC): **14 YEARS AFTER CLAUDIUS, NERO, AND MAYBE GALBA, ALL RULED AND DIED** (collectively, using earliest as the tally). The dateline is vital to his Gospel theme, *TEMPLE DOWN IMMINENT*; SPQR is

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline = pronounce as one syllable, orange = divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

KING OF THE NORTH in Daniel 9 and 11:35ff, so *MAYBE RAPTURE WILL HAPPEN NOW*. (No euthus in Chapters 12 and 13, as *TEMPLE DOWN* is no longer *euthus* = 'immediately next', 'straightaway next', but *NOW*). But *when*, in the 15th year? We don't yet know.

2. **14 meter fore, 4175+14=4189: 14 YEARS UNTIL 'PAYBACK' ON ABRAHAM'S TOO-EARLY MATURATION, COMPLETES.** Christ died *on* Passover, *officially* 18 but *really* 14 days into 4136 vernal aka sacred = 4136.54 Adamic: *that started the countdown*. So, + 53.5 = 4189.54 sacred, =4190.04 Adamic. (You got the pun on Passover and 4, right? 14/365.25=.0383?) **Mark flips equidistance in Mary's 40-year countdown** (again tags Luke), writes in *40th year of payback countdown* from Christ's Death, *TO PAY BACK GENTILES* for Abraham's too-early maturation which *grafted into* 'their' Promise Time. Meter always uses equidistance, for God said *YES* to Moses' *as many as* prayer in Psalm 90:15; *equidistance is a meter-balancing, 'smoking gun'*. So we know Mark writes *no earlier than* 4174.5 or 4175 sacred, aka 4175 or 4175.5 Adamic: else the **14's, don't balance**. But he also writes *no later than* 4175.5 vernal, else the **14's also don't balance**.
 3. How do we know? 4174.5 sacred (=4175 Adamic), -14 = 4160.5 (=4161 Adamic). Next, 4174.5+14 = 4188.5 (=4189 Adamic), too low. *So it's not, when he writes*. Next, sacred 4175 (= 4175.5 Adamic) - 14 = 4161, but 4175 +14 = 4189, also too low. So what about 4175.54 vernal (= 4176.04 Adamic)? 4175.54 -14 = 4161.54; but if he writes *in the 15th year*, a 14 meter is okay, *as those are past years*. Next test, 4175.54 + 14 = 4189.54. *Right on the nose*. Heh. But what about the **56** dateline? Will it 'balance' to a March AD 69 (4175.54 vernal = Passover '69) writing date? We don't yet know.
 4. So let's take a break, note how *Mark tags other Bible via the meter*, by piggybacking **14** on **56's** in other Bible books (40+14, get it? 56+14, get it? 70+14, get it?). *The Lord is or near age 72*, so His '70' *has passed*. So now *it's up to Church to finish her 70*, as the *Temple's 70 is almost up*. Jude used that theme as a dateline; Mark alludes to it by using 14 *first*, since Jude's meters are **56 & 70**; Mark 'tags' Jude's meters to *become 70 & 84 = Vote Ends, Rapture Decree might go 'on' NOW*. All Bible meters (Moses having set precedent in Psalm 90) elide, include *or* piggyback **56** or **14**. Clever.
- Time for a history check: we know Mark was in Rome with Timothy and Paul** (end 2 Timothy). **So Mark writes from jail?** If 4175 Adamic: AD 68, 3rd week September, *not much later*. If Abrahamic, then vernal means 3rd week March, six months later *at or near Passover* AD 69. Galba didn't reach Rome until October 68, maybe Otho got there earlier, and maybe Mark writes from jail as Paul had done; in the contest of Emperors, folks jailed by Nero were pardoned or at least got treated better, for *who knows what the new guy will do* (folks imprisoned by a former, unpopular emperor, are often pardoned by the new one). By March 69, Galba will be slain; Otho will be at Cremona, soon choosing to fall on his dagger; Vitellius, declared as Emperor, marching to meet Otho; who, murdered Galba. *Three opportunities for prisoners to be released or treated well, pending whoever wins the purple*. Vespasian thus justified *standing down* against Jerusalem: wise Romans, *put orders/executions on hold*. So Mark *can* send a letter. Or is already, freed.
5. **28 meter** (not a dateline, for syntactically you don't 'seven', to start a quote) **tags Isaiah's 28s, 56s in Isaiah 53**. How deft, since Isaiah is *next quoted!* So, **28** tags (each) Isa53:9 and :11; both re His Perfect Work on the Cross: *raison d'etre* for the call, *CLEAN YOUR ROADS FOR THE KING'S PROGRESS*. Just as Mark *bookended the genealogies* in Matt1 and Luke 3 via ὁὐὸς ἰησοῦς , Mark next *concatenates quotes* from Matt11:10 and Luke 7:26-27, for Christ *concatenated* Malachi and Isaiah. ('More proof Matthew is 1st Gospel and Luke 2nd. Quotation *isn't in the OT as Christ phrased it*, Who instead *concatenated several verses*, a recurrent technique in Bible and literature, both then and now. If I say 'WHERE'S the beef, the original quote's from a [Wendy's hamburger commercial](#). If I substitute 'beef' with the thing I criticize, most will still recognize the quote's provenance; yet technically, I changed the quote to *apply* it. Scripture uses this quotation style *to link passages into an APPLICATION*. Legally, it's called 'incorporation by reference'. Only the devious, call such building-block quotes, 'mistakes': so too, 'scholars' claiming Mark 1st Gospel, or an unknown 'quelle'=source, FOR the Gospels. Mark 1:2 proves them all wrong. Pray for them: we need their brains to start working again.)
 6. **46 (and 64, 75, 86) meter style is from 2 Tim, same formula, Adamic year's last two digits.** Thus Mark tags Luke again: the **46**, is based Magnificat's *balancing goal*. Mark used 14 + 4146.5 Adamic as an *equidistant* base for his *aft 14* formula, to say he's *also* writing **14** years *after* that sum. Style also apes Luke's preceding 'I write you' meter in Acts: *I Mark write Gospel of Jesus Christ Son of God 14 years AFTER 4146.5 + 14 = 40 countdown to Mill*, end Mary's speech in Luke. *She balanced to the original Abrahamic credit; so I do too, 14 years after her countdown stopped*. Could you ask for wittier precision?
 7. **56 meter, now!** Let's see if a Passover AD 69 putative composition date, holds up under *this* dateline's formulas. Mark already hinted, by the misplaced **28** -- coyly sevened to split his text from the quote he *concatenated* from Matthew and Luke, who quoted Christ *concatenating* (splitting, get it) Malachi and Isaiah – Mark thus already hinted, how to read the **56: SPLIT IT**. Just as, Luke Paul Peter and Jude had done. Their base formula was *years after Christ was to be born or die*. But there are *three sets* of Birth and Death deadlines (pun intended), and *three fiscals*. Which will Mark use?
 8. Well, the 1st Death deadline was 4146.5 Adamic = *Outer Limit Of Jewish Time*, 53.5 years left *to pay the goyim*. 4146.5+28 = 4174.5 Adamic. That won't balance to the above #2: how **14** 'fore', Mark writes in the 40th of 53.5 years after *Christ actually died*. So what if the **56** split is instead, 28 years *past* so really in the 29th year? Aha. So 4146.5 Adamic +29 = 4175.5, but the 'split' signifies the 28th year is *past*, but the 29th *hasn't finished*. Still 4175 vernal, fits.
 9. What about fore? Well 29+26=56, not equidistant.

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline = pronounce as one syllable, orange = divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

10. But look: first **14**, $4175-14=4161$, *Adamic*. Second **14**, $4175 + 14 = 4189 = 4136.54 + 53.5$, also *Adamic*; Re #8 just above, the **56/2** aft if $4146.04 \text{ vernal} + 28 = 4174.04 \text{ vernal} = 4174.54 \text{ Adamic}$; **56/2** fore, $4201.5 \text{ Adamic} = 4201 \text{ vernal} - 27 = 4174 \text{ vernal} = 4174.5 \text{ Adamic}$. In which case, we should read the 14's as 'in the 14th year, not as fully passed years?
11. So we seem to have **convergence at 4175.04 vernal = 4175.54 Adamic**. Or, a year prior. If a year prior, Mark writes close to Passover just after Paul was killed in AD 68, when Vindex sent letters to garrisons all over the Empire, urging rebellion (then died what, five weeks later?), so now Galba claims the purple, April 8 (the usual Firstfruits=Easter date if we all knew our Bibles)? By June 9, Nero will also be dead. By January 15 AD 69, Galba will be dead; by April 16, Otho who killed Galba will be dead; by December 22, Vitellius who declared for Emperor back near January 3rd will also be dead. Only Vespasian remains, but he's not yet in Rome: he's besieging JERUSALEM via Titus. But teenager Domitian is in Rome, newly emerging from his Isis-priest disguise, to claim the purple for his dad. Mark was in Rome, at least through June; maybe now newly released, maybe went to Peter in Babylon after all, so the 'Mark' at 1 Peter's end really is 'our' John Mark who went with Timothy to Paul. Maybe Mark played courier, going back and forth to Rome? Maybe he wrote Book of Hebrews en route, since the latter's flow of points tracks exactly to Mark's Gospel? Or is Luke *with* Mark, and they both write while travelling or in jail, Mark the Gospel, and Luke.. writing Hebrews? For Hebrews is written from Italy (Chapter 13); it seems like the writer was there to collect a newly-freed Timothy (13:23).
12. **Whether 4174 or 4175, we have a trenchant Gospel under-theme, Year of the Four Emperors** slightly prophetic, falling like dominoes in the wake of Paul's death: *Nero Galba Otho Vitellius, sic transit gloria mundi, sic transit Mark's acerbic warning Gospel to miracle-crazy Christians who will also die for having rejected Christ even as Pharisees in the Gospel. Yeah, cuz we have Acts and the Church Father writings, to prove how crazy we were! Jews have Talmud to see how wacko Judaism became, and we have the NT and the Church Father writings as well, to see we're easily as bad! Sic transit our sick abuse of Bible.*
13. **Politically, Mark again keys off Mary's endpoint in Luke's Gospel, tags Luke 3, neatly takes reader back to its beginning, since (a rounded) 4175 - 56 = START AD 13, when Tiberius first had (in effect), co-regency with Augustus.** Back then, Judea was a province, so the 'kings from' convention must reference Rome. Varro's calendar had already been law when Luke wrote, so he had to use '15th year of Tiberius' to show the reader how to value Christ's age (which the reader already knew, but Luke uses *equidistance* to stress GOD KEEPS HIS PROMISE, Psalm 90:15). So, Christ was born 15 years *prior to* Tiberius' 15th year. Simple. But that year, is not what we moderns call it, since a) Bible often measures from co-regency, and b) we use Varro's three-years-over-padded 'AUC' to say what constitutes BC/AD. So count backwards, and you find the BC year is off. You can't fix it by moving the 'AD' years forward, or shoving the BC backward; then the *Fasti Romani* won't match. For we use **total** alleged age of Rome to craft our BC/AD, but Varro's three-years'-overadded error is in the years 300's BC. So because we use **totals**, we go out of sync with the consul names, if we move the year of His Birth, forward or back. So counting back and forward by regnal years and names, is the *only* way to sync the dates. *Point is, Mark does that. Using, numbers Luke alone provided.* So again, this is yet more proof Mark is the *third* Gospel, not the first; he writes Sept - March AD 68/69.

So while Mark writes euthus 42 times, and engus and tachu less often but more bitingly: **the Matthew 24 condition obtains: Jerusalem is surrounded by armies, three Emperors have come and gone; with the fourth, investing Jerusalem as Daniel 9:26 explained.** Timeline is as long as when Ezekiel played out Temple siege to the Babylonian Jews, to Jeremiah chronicling the siege in Jeremiah 52. And of course Temple goes down 9-10 Ab, 70AD. For in 66 Nero dispatched Vespasian, in 67 he got there, but it took a year to work things out with Mucianus (governor of Syria at the time), by then strategy was *to first surround Jerusalem*. However, Nero died; Galba was marching on Rome; Vespasian had been told *he'd* be Emperor by both Josephus and an Alexandrian soothsayer, so Vespasian wants to go slow.. *so the timeline for the Second Temple, emulates the same drawn-out siege time, as the First.* Can God make it more obvious?

One may also audit dateline meters, via the x7 test, to see else Mark might provide as his theme. (Dateline meters are always thematic.) So what back-dates, obtain? If Mark plays on the extensive 35x7 and 42x7 Magnificat date matrix, we have:

14 x 7 = 98, the Millennium (98th year of Christ) going forward, and if 68-98, then 30 BC going backward, which Augustus himself used *as his own starting date of rule*. So it's a pun: he was credited with bringing in the golden age, so Mark dates backward from it, and forward to the Real One. Heh. After all, the *TEMPLE IS GOING DOWN*, that's his Gospel theme; Augustus was Caesar when Christ is born: so double-entendre and matching. So Rapture for Body of Christ, akin in nature; then Rome would be the anti-Christ (there are two, one Jewish and one Roman, in Daniel 11:35ff). **98** was prophetic in Paul, Peter and most notably Jude, then the latest Bible book; so **14x7** is a handy way to tag them all. Witness:

By Mary's '**217**' endpoint, Church *not* Israel, has the Time Baton. So Mark's first clause, **14x7** years from His Birth, Time Which Was Scheduled to End with His 2nd Coming, is now on hold. *Just like Vespasian put the armies on hold.*

That **14** remains pending, since God in Daniel 9:26, had to allot **7** of it within His Lifetime -- which didn't get spent, since He died early -- the *other 7*, remains for Tribulation. *Which might start any minute, as Vespasian surrounds Jerusalem.*

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline=pronounce as one syllable, orange=divisible by seven; purple, by 3.

28 x 7 as a tag, not a dateline = 196 - 68 = 128 BC, defeat of Antiochus VII, ties to Mary's Magnificat dateline **35** meter. So again, Mark tags Luke via Mary. Which makes sense, as he, Paul, Timothy and Luke were together in Rome! Mary tracked Daniel 11 when she crafted her meter. Daniel 11 explains how Daniel 9:27, gets done. So here, SPQR plays prequel, her armies surround Jerusalem; *so will Rapture happen?* For the 40 years' warning re Temple, to 'reimburse' its 40-year delay Entering the Land -- will soon end. Eph1:4 mapped it. (P.8 of <http://www.brainout.net/Eph1DecreeSyllablesREPARSED.pdf>.)

So look: **14x7** is full-circle, idea of *CHRIST DIES, THEN ISRAEL DOES TOO*, just as Daniel 9:26-27 had explained. The **28x7** is also full-circle in a different way, parallels the *current* King of the North, with the one past. In that past, Israel won; *because Rome got involved*. Just as Daniel had been foretold. So Mark plays Daniel: *now a 'new' King of the North, invests Jerusalem yet again*; however this time, freedom is lost; *for Messiah Came and Left the Building, since Israel rejected Him*. Less sotto-voce: *yeah, and Church rejects Him just as much, sigh: so it's time to CLEAN HOUSE!*

56 x 7 = 392 years prior, pregnant, [Paul's Eph 1:4 first dateline](#) played on it, 322-324 BC, Alexander's death, the impetus and *raison d'etre*, for Daniel 7-11. Paul's **56** benchmark was 336 BC, year Alexander's father died; so that year, the son whose succession had been in doubt, suddenly became king. Every Greek reading Ephesians, would smile at that meter, which of course Daniel and Maccabees, were dedicated to explain. Idea that *all the history God foretold, is coming to pass for both goyim and Jew*.

Yet how to stylistically justify υἱοῦ θεοῦ? Clearly all the above, depends on that variant. Your typical explanation will be to resort to whether the *earliest* 'witnesses' contain the text. Even so, what if a later text copied from a better *earlier* one which did not survive? Hence the need to resort to *STYLE FORENSICS*. This matters especially re Mark, as so many inept 'scholars' try to patchwork a Quelle from Gospels we already have! Or, almost as bad, they claim Mark's Gospel is 1st because it's shortest! Common sense would tell you the opposite: sequels don't *repeat* what's been said, so are *shorter*.

So: Matthew 1 used the style υἱοῦ Δαυὶδ υἱοῦ Ἀβραάμ. Luke 3 uses Ἰωσήφ τοῦ Ἡλὶ, ending with τοῦ Ἀδάμ τοῦ θεοῦ. So Mark links υἱοῦ and θεοῦ, to *incorporate by reference*. Instead, some mss read υἱοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ; yet *genealogy* styles seem to use either υἱοῦ or τοῦ, *not* both. So one may argue Mark natively concatenates *both* genealogies: Matthew's υἱοῦ + Luke's θεοῦ, omitting τοῦ in between. For variants of both structures are in LXX of 1 Chronicles (that Jeremiah wrote), yet no *combined* υἱοῦ τοῦ in genealogy lists. Another test: 'does Mark repeat this style'? *Yes*. Both with and without the article: see Mark 3:11 and 15:39. Note those *aren't* genealogy lists. So the **14** is valid.

So Let's Recap:

- Last **40** to Mill began **14** years after He *should have died* on vernal fiscal: 2046 Abraham's maturation +2100 TIME FOR THE JEWS = 4146, +14 = 4160 + 40 = 4200, the Mill. Mark writes **14** years after 4161, *hahahaha*, but which fiscal? And start or end year? And that's just **two of eight equidistances**...
- So now a putative date, 4161 START (=4160 end) +14 = 4175 START, so add **14** again, **3rd equidistance** = 4189 or 4190 = *FOURTEEN YEARS INTO* the *reimbursement due* on Abraham's early supermaturity (2100-2046, really 53.5, since Adamic year is autumnal equinox fiscal). Why? 4136=4136.5 Christ dies, so 53.5 years later = 4189.5. 4175, =14 years prior. Equidistant, fore and aft!
- So **4th equidistance: 40 and 14, mirrored!** Then switch fiscals: Mark writes in 4175.5 = March AD 69, a year after Paul & Nero & Galba & soon Otho all die, with Nero having ruled what? **5th equidistance, FOURTEEN YEARS.**
- The **56** dateline (**6th & 7th equidistances**) is *split* into **28's**. 4175.5 + 28 fore and 4175.5 - 28 aft yield 4147.5 (= year Christ should have died on autumnal equiv of vernal) but fore = 4203.5, too late. So redo fore as *28th year*, switch fiscals = 4174.5 + 27 = 4201.5 = Mill's 1st year on vernal *translated as autumnal* (6 months prior).
- **8th equidistance: Mark writes at midpoint,** as Temple to go down at midpoint (Dan 9:27) =4175 vernal=Christ newly age 72 (since prior Chanukah)=**3rd week March 69**, while Otho defends against Vitellius at Cremona, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Bedriacum. How's that for precision? Figure but a week in either direction, lest the 'midpoint' doctrinal value be lost (18-month spread due to fiscals / 2 = 9 months = *pleroma pregnancy coming to term* for both Gentiles and Jews, get it). *Dates are always turned into doctrines, which happily God wittily preserved, in the meter.* (King-James-Onlyists, eat your hearts out. You ain't never gonna see this beauty, so long as you contend God couldn't get it right until 1611 WASP English.)
- And that turning-point battle theme is central to Mark's acerbic Gospel! For he writes tragi-comically, a *KEYSTONE COPS* reel of *Pharisees bug Christ to do miracles, so He does; demons attest He's the Christ, also a miracle; but instead of believing, they become wacko, run around like chickens with their heads cut off, trying to 'catch' Him.* 'This evil generation seeks a sign' – including, *Christians* who get Mark's Gospel. Matthew 24 is 'on', *Jerusalem is surrounded* by Vespasian as a tactical policy (to bide time, wait for Otho and Vitellius to fight it out near Cremona). But believers *right then* claimed *THE LORD IS SLOW ABOUT HIS PROMISE*, 2 Peter 3, *though Vespasian had newly invested Judaea, even while Peter wrote?!* So no *more* sign need be given, huh. (Mark 8:11-12, cf. Matt 13, *euthus* still omitted, no longer 'next'. So *not* 'the end' *now*, Church is too busy drooling over 'signs' to mature, 2nd Peter 3!)

Mark's Revised Dateline Meter

Teal underline = link, red underline=pronounce as one syllable, **orange**=divisible by seven; **purple**, by 3.

So Mark played on Matthew 1, who played on [Isaiah 53's theme](#), *FIRST DAVID TO LAST DAVID* with the first 42 YEARS OF DAVID = 42 syllables, so Matthew crafting three *Davidic* groups in **14** (legit, leaving out Athalia's line) generations each. (Isa 52:13-14, real start of Isa 53 in Hebrew = 42 years of David's life = 42 syllables. Luke's genealogy makes **77** sons – get the visual pun? -- as Isaiah 53:15 is on *GOYIM HEARING*, so 35 more years = syllables, Isaiah stopping at David's age-77 death.) Mark thus formulates his *Gospel writing date*, as three **14**-year equidistances: 4146.5 to 4160.5 to 4175.5 to 4189.5, with his *writing date* in the *middle* = *GENERATION BUILDING METER* (Jacob's two families after 21 years working for Laban) = 42! Because, Jerusalem is in the 'middle' of a siege!

Oh: 4175.5 -**56** = AD 13 (4175 - 4106 original scheduled Christ Birth Date - 4100 = 'our' BC/AD), when Tiberius first started his co-regency with Augustus. Yeah, and his Gospel opens **14** years later (equidistant ellipsis), get the pun? *VOTE NOW, TEMPLE'S 70 IS UP, EVEN AS CHRIST VOTED AND DIED AT THE VERNAL START OF AD 30!* (*THREE TEMPTATION OF CHRIST* after He's baptised by John, tagging Matt 4 and Luke 3, again proving Mark is *third* Gospel)! Luke used the same dating method: **63** years after Gavriel visited Zecharias, I Luke write this Gospel.

Heh, a **traditional years-from-king dating method**, too: for Mark writes **14** years after Nero started, who is now dead; and **56** years after Tiberius started, who died in the year Christ should have, but Christ died **7** years prior, in the 61st 'week' instead of the 62nd: giving rise to, that **14**... (David died 3143 so Christ should have died 4143 = AD 37 but instead He died 4136 vernal = 4136.5 Adamic, on *what should have been* Passover Erev at 3pm, the time of the Temple 'evening sacrifice', to 'pay' for the evening, when the sun declines; so there's enough time left to roast by, and then eat the Passover at, sunset.)

Does it get more poignant and clever, than this? If I were young again and it was okay for a female to go to seminary, I'd write my Th.D dissertation on this. At least before I too die, ideally (but not likely) soon, I can know it. *Kill me now, I like Simeon have seen...*